



Cisco Unity Connection (CUC) 8.x

Chesapeake NetCraftsmen

David Hailey

Cisco Mid-Atlantic User's Group May 2011





Agenda

- Software Releases
- Enhancements to Unity Connection 8.x
- Upgrade Paths
- Key Takeaways

Q&A Policy

Please hold questions until the end of the presentation



CUC 8.x SOFTWARE RELEASE STATUS

Release Status for CUC 8.x

- CUCM/CUC share installation media
 - DVD/CD Media
 - **ISO**
- Release schedule falls in line with CUCM 8.x
 - Latest is 8.5(1)SU1 Feb 2011
 - UC 8.6 Beta Trial in progress

CUC 8.x NEW FEATURES / ENHANCEMENTS



Increased Capacity/Scalability

- Highlight of 8.0 release
- 250 ports per server
 - Often misunderstood need to highlight design considerations
- 20,000 users per server/cluster
- Digital networking for up to 100,000 users across up to 20 servers/clusters with cross-server features

Port Capacity and HA Clustering

- Port capacity "numbers" are often misunderstood when deploying HA clusters
- 250 ports/server x 2 servers (HA) = 500 ports!
 - Mathematically, yes. However, consider the design.
- CUC HA = Active/Active Failover
 - 100% redundancy between servers
 - Normal operations 1 server (Subscriber) should handle majority of call processing duties
 - 1 server = 250 ports
- Publisher should handle client and administration traffic



Port Capacity and HA Clustering

- So, does this mean only one server can take calls?
 - No, it's OK for the Publisher to handle additional calls (250+) during high-call volume periods
 - But, if you consistently need more ports than one server can handle then increased call blocking will occur in a failure event
 - i.e., less than 100% redundancy
- CNC Recommendation
 - Plan for (or close to) an effective port capacity of 250 ports

Port Capacity and HA Clustering

- Is this documented somewhere?
 - Yes, but "selective reading" can lead to misunderstanding
 - Ex: "When configured as a Connection cluster...system can support double the number of VM ports"
 - Ex: "...each server in the Connection cluster must have enough VM ports to handle all calls for the Connection cluster"
 - Ex: "...we recommend that most call traffic be directed to the subscriber server"

Virtualization

- Introduced in 8.0
 - Supported with UC on UCS
 - Mixed install support for clustering
 - 1 physical server / 1 virtual server
 - Match VM specifications for CPU, memory, and disk space to physical server
- Clustering (2 VM's)
 - Prefer HW redundancy
- 8.5 brings new overlay and HW support
 - UCS B200 M2 and C200/210 M2

- Single Inbox = Unified Messaging (UM)
 - Introduced in 8.5(1)
 - Upon launch, support for Exchange 2010 only
 - 8.5(1)SU1 released in February
 - Added support for Exchange 2003 and 2007
- What does this mean for Cisco Unity?
 - Maintenance mode only
 - Don't expect feature/version development beyond Unity 8.0

- Why would Cisco introduce UM for CUC?
 - Unity can be difficult manage and maintain
 - Typical causes include...
 - Poor planning
 - Misunderstanding/lack of expertise
 - » Schema extensions, permissions, operation
 - Lack of cohesion between voice and infrastructure teams
 - Emergence of Microsoft UM
 - Less cooperation, more competition



- Why would Cisco introduce UM for CUC?
 - Changes to MAPI affect Unity's integration capabilities
 - Unity's interaction with Exchange is based on MAPI
 - Move to appliance-based server model
 - Stability
 - TCO
 - Legal concerns
 - With Unity, messages are always stored in Exchange
 - Voicemail is inherently email



- How is Unity UM different from CUC UM?
 - Single Store vs. Dual Store Architecture
 - CUC UM is based on Dual Message Store Synchronization
 - Goodbye, MAPI. Hello, Web API's.
 - CUC leverages web services (WebDAV/EWS) to synchronize with Exchange
 - Reduces dependencies on AD and Exchange
 - No schema extensions, minimal permissions
 - » 1 to 3 permissions required for CUC service account (s) depending on Exchange version



- How is Unity UM different from CUC UM?
 - Single Store vs. Dual Store Architecture
 - Reduces dependences on AD and Exchange
 - CUC will not stop functioning if a GC is unavailable
 - CUC does not require co-location with Exchange servers for synchronization
 - Clients have access to VM even if Exchange is down
 - » CUC does not depend on Exchange for delivery/ receipt/storage of voicemails

- How is Unity UM different from CUC UM?
 - Flexibility
 - Synchronize with multiple versions of Exchange simultaneously
 - Provide VM-only, Integrated Messaging (IMAP), or UM on a per-user basis
 - Jane wants VM, John wants UM...no problem.
 - AD accounts/Exchange mailboxes are not required for CUC VM-only users
 - Synchronization vs. Integration
 - Voice messages are always stored on CUC and then synchronized with Exchange mailboxes



- Requirements and Support Details
 - Exchange
 - All editions
 - Standard, Enterprise, etc.
 - 2010 Update Rollup 3 or later, SP1
 - 2007 SP2
 - 2003 SP2
 - Exchange clusters are supported
 - Exchange can use any SAN configuration supported by Microsoft

- **Requirements and Support Details**
 - Support multiple forest Exchange integration
 - Requires at least 1 CUC UM service per forest (up to 20 per server/cluster)
 - AD and Exchange can be virtualized
 - If supported by Microsoft, it's OK
 - Single Inbox must be assigned within an applicable Class of **Service for Single Inbox users**
 - Single Inbox users must use an email client configured to access their Exchange mailbox
 - For full functionality, use Outlook with ViewMail for Outlook





Feature Details

- Users must be enabled for Single Inbox
- Voice messages (including messages sent via ViewMail for Outlook) are first stored in CUC and then replicated to the user's Exchange mailbox
 - i.e., Synchronization
- Status changes are replicated (bi-directional) between CUC and Exchange
- ViewMail for Outlook is a key component
 - Users can send new voice messages and reply to/forward voice messages and they are synchronized with CUC
 - Listen to secure messages
 - Forwarding of private messages is restricted





- Feature Details
 - ViewMail for Outlook is a key component
 - Without ViewMail or when another client is used...
 - Messages are treated like emails with .wav attachments
 - Replies/forwards are treated like email
 - » Message is routed via Exchange, not CUC
 - » Messages are never sent to CUC
 - Cannot listen to Secure Messages
 - » Secure Messages NEVER leave CUC
 - Users may be able to forward private messages

- Feature Details
 - Outlook folder synchronization
 - CUC Inbox is synchronized with a set of Outlook folders
 - Subfolders under Outlook Inbox
 - Subfolders under Outlook Deleted Items
 - » Deleted Items appear in CUC Deleted Items
 - Outlook Junk Mail
 - User moves voice messages (not secure) into other folders in Outlook not under the Inbox...
 - Messages moved to CUC Deleted Items



Feature Details

- Outlook folder synchronization
 - Users moves voice messages back to Outlook Inbox or a synchronized folder...
 - If still in CUC Deleted Items, synchronized to CUC Inbox
 - If not in CUC Deleted Items, can be played in Outlook but is not resynchronized
 - Delete a message in CUC
 - Message sent to CUC Deleted Items and syncs with **Outlook Deleted Items**
 - Delete a message from CUC Deleted Items (manually or via message aging rules)
 - Messages deleted from Outlook Deleted Items



- Feature Details
 - Outlook folder synchronization
 - CUC messages that are not synced
 - Sent, Draft, Future delivery, Broadcast, Unaccepted dispatch
 - Secure Messages
 - Secure messages only reside on CUC, only a decoy is replicated to Exchange
 - When playing a message via ViewMail, it is securely streamed from CUC without being stored in Exchange or local to PC

- Preparation Tasks/Considerations
 - Licensing
 - Upgrade
 - Pre 8.0 → Obtain upgrade license
 - 8.0 to 8.5 → No upgrade license
 - Single Inbox Feature
 - Considered the same as IMAP
 - LDAP synchronization considerations
 - CUCM Mail ID → Corporate Email ID in CUC
 - Ultimately, CUC needs to know user's corporate email address for UM



- Preparation Tasks/Considerations
 - Should CUC communicate with a specific Exchange server or search for and communicate with different Exchange servers, as needed?
 - Ability to communicate with Exchange servers can be affected by decision (based on version of Exchange)
 - May affect the number of UM services CUC requires to access all of the mailboxes in the Exchange organization
 - Type of authentication used between CUC and Exchange
 - UM service account(s)
 - Created in AD and granted minimal permissions
 - Exchange Considerations
 - 2003 Enable WebDAV
 - 2010 Remove EWS limits for UM service account(s)





- Preparation Tasks/Considerations
 - Create UM services in CUC
 - You may have multiple based on configuration and features enabled for users
 - Load certificates on CUC
 - Enable feature in CoS and assign to applicable users
 - Allow ViewMail users to send new messages or reply to/forward messages
 - Add SMTP proxy addresses to Subscribers
 - Create UM accounts
 - Used to tie Subscribers to UM services in CUC
 - Not automatically provisioned
 - A user may have more than 1 UM account





Message Aging and Expiration

Message Aging Alerts

- Customizable alerts can be sent to users before message aging rule enforcement
 - Users have time to take action, if required
 - Customize delays between the alert and messaging aging rule enforcement
- Message Recording Expiration
 - Ensures messages stored on CUC cannot be listened to after a specified expiration date (even if forwarded)
 - System-wide, advanced configuration option
 - Specify the number of days until expiration based on message body creation time



Secure Delete

- Messages are "shredded" after deletion
- System-wide, advanced configuration option
- Iteration of 1-10 passes
 - 3 is standard for "general" use
- Uses standard Linux tool
 - GNU core utility Shred Tool
 - Bits that make up a message are overwritten with random data bits
 - Clean Deleted Messages task
 - Ex: Shred every 30 minutes



Application and DB Logging

- Audit logging is centralized and enabled by default
 - Collected, viewed, and deleted within RTMT
- Can now leverage audit logging reports for configuration changes
 - Ex: Login/logout of CU Administration
 - Ex: Configuration changes
 - Ex: BAT creates/deletes

Client Enhancements

ViewMail for Outlook

- 8.5(4) latest as of April 2011
- Replaces old form-based client
- Use for Single Inbox or IMAP
- Provides Single Inbox with most robust feature set
- VMO has its own set of application requirements

Web Inbox

- Web 2.0 Inbox replaces Unity Inbox
- HTML5 and REST-based API's
- Can be deployed as a widget or gadget



CUC 8.x UPGRADE PATH(S)





Upgrade Path(s) to CUC 8.5

- As previously mentioned, CUCM/CUC share installation media
 - Shared application components
 - Business Edition
- Upgrade path falls in line with CUCM 8.x

CUC 8.x KEY TAKEAWAYS





Key Takeaways

- Virtualization
 - Key addition for UC 8.x
- Unity Connection is premier voice messaging platform for Cisco
 - UM is no longer a rumor
 - Dual store architecture has some serious advantages
 - If you're considering Cisco for messaging, you should be looking at CUC



Chesapeake NETCRAFTSMEN

Telephone: 888-804-1717

E-mail: info@netcraftsmen.net



